arXiv:1703.06825v1 [math-ph] 17 Mar 2017

Asymptotic solution for expanding universe with matter-dominated evolution*

Žarko Mijajlović and Nadežda Pejović

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade
Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
zarkom@matf.bg.ac.rs,nada@matf.bg.ac.rs

Abstract. S.M. Carroll, W.H. Press and E.L. Turner proved in 1992 that for a universe with a matter-dominated evolution, the cosmological constant and a curvature $k = 0, -1$ the expression $H(t)t$ depends solely on the parameter $\Omega(t)$. Here $H(t)$ is the Hubble parameter while $\Omega(t)$ is the density parameter. Using this result and the theory of regularly varying functions we infer the asymptotics of all main cosmological parameters for this type of universe, even if the limit $\lim_{t\to\infty}\Omega(t)$ does not exist.

Keywords: regular variation, cosmological parameter, Friedmann equations, density parameter.

1 Introduction

In our papers [24], we applied the theory of regularly varying functions in the asymptotic analysis of cosmological parameters of the expanding universe. We remind that these parameters are solutions of Friedmann equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{kc^2}{R^2}, && \text{Friedmann equation,}\\
&\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2}\right), && \text{Acceleration equation,}\\
&\dot{\rho} + 3\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right) = 0, && \text{Fluid equation.}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Functions appearing in these equations are the expansion scale factor $R = R(t)$, the energy density $\rho = \rho(t)$ and the pressure of the material in the universe $p = p(t)$. We show that all these parameters, including the Hubble parameter $H = H(t) = \dot{R}/R$ and deceleration parameter $q = q(t) = -R\ddot{R}/\dot{R}^2$, are regularly varying functions under standard circumstances. The scale factor R is often normalized in respect to the present epoch by $R = R_0 a(t)$, where $R_0 = R(t_0)$

* This work was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science, grant number III44006

and t_0 is a certain fixed time moment at the present. If it is assumed that $R(t)$ is slowly varying in the present epoch then $a(t)$ is the normalized scale factor having the value one at the present. Observe that then the first Friedmann equation in $a(t)$ looks like:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{kc^2}{R_0^2 a^2}, \tag{2}$$

while the fluid equation and the acceleration equation are invariant under the substitution $R(t) \to a(t)$. In most cases we shall refer to $a(t)$ instead of $R(t)$.

We note that the acceleration equation is central in our study of asymptotics of solutions of Friedmann equations for several reasons. For example it does not depend from the curvature index k. Further, the theory of regularly varying solutions of such type of equations can be applied successfully in the analysis of Friedmann equations even if the cosmological constant Λ is added:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{kc^2}{R_0^2 a^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \qquad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2}\right) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}. \tag{3}$$

Namely, under the transformations $\rho' = \rho + \Lambda/(8\pi G)$, $p' = p - \Lambda/(8\pi G)$ the Friedmann equations (1) are invariant, while the fluid equation is not affected by the parameter Λ.

Theory of regularly varying functions is introduced mainly for studying behavior of real functions at infinity and also the functions satisfying the power law. This theory was started in the thirties of the last century by J. Karamata in [19]. Many other authors continued to develop it, see Bingham et al. [3] and Seneta [28]. At the present time, this theory is used in many areas of mathematics particularly in the asymptotic analysis of functions and probability theory. There were also some uses of this theory in cosmology, particularly in the study of asymptotic behavior of cosmological parameters, e.g. Mijajlovic et al., [24], [25], but also by Molchanov [26] and Stern [29]. Barrow in [4] and Barrow and Show in [5] had a similar approach in studies of asymptotic behavior of solutions to the Einstein equations describing expanding universes. They used there a theory of Hardy and Fowler which preceded the theory of regular variation. We give a short review of main notions related to the regular variation and some extensions of this theory that we shall need in the rest of the paper.

1.1 Regularly varying functions

A real positive continuous function $L(t)$ defined for $x > x_0$ which satisfies

$$\frac{L(\lambda t)}{L(t)} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty, \quad \text{for each real } \lambda > 0, \tag{4}$$

is called a slowly varying (SV) function. Continuing works of G.H. Hardy, J.L. Littlewood and E. Landau, Karamata [19] originally defined and studied this notion for continuous functions. Later this theory was extended to measurable functions. Due to physical constraints, we assume here that all functions are continuously differentiable.

Definition 1. *A function F(t) is said to satisfy the generalized power law if*

$$F(t) = t^r L(t) \tag{5}$$

where $L(t)$ is a slowly varying function and r is a real constant.

Logarithmic function $\ln(x)$ and iterated logarithmic functions $\ln(\ldots \ln(x) \ldots)$ are examples of slowly varying functions. More complicated examples are provided in [3], [28] and [22].

A positive continuous function F defined for $t > t_0$, is a regularly varying (RV) function of the index r, if and only if it satisfies

$$\frac{F(\lambda t)}{F(t)} \to \lambda^r \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty, \quad \text{for each } \lambda > 0. \tag{6}$$

It immediately follows that a regularly varying function $F(t)$ has the form (5). Therefore $F(t)$ is regularly varying if and only if it satisfies the generalized power law. By $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ we denote the class of regularly varying functions of index α. Hence $\mathcal{R}_0$ is the class of all slowly varying functions. By $\mathcal{Z}_0$ we shall denote the class of zero functions at ∞, i.e. $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{Z}_0$ if and only if $\lim\limits_{t\to+\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$. The following representation theorem [19] describes the fundamental property of these functions.

Theorem 1. (*Representation theorem*) *for slowly varying functions $L \in \mathcal{R}_0$ if and only if there are measurable functions $h(x)$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{Z}_0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ so that*

$$L(x) = h(x) e^{\int_b^x \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t} dt}, \quad x \geq b, \tag{7}$$

and $h(x) \to h_0$ as $x \to \infty$, h_0 is a positive constant.

If $h(x)$ is a constant function, then $L(x)$ is called normalized. A regularly varying function having normalized slowly varying part will be also called normalized. Let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the class of normalized slowly varying functions. The next fact on $\mathcal{N}$-functions will be useful for our later discussion. If $L \in \mathcal{N}$ and there is $\ddot{L}$, then ε in (7) has the first order derivative $\dot{\varepsilon}$. This follows from the identity $\varepsilon(t) = t\dot{L}(t)/L(t)$.

There are various classes of positive measurable functions with similar asymptotic behavior to regularly varying function such as the class ER – extended regularly varying functions (or Matuszewska class of functions), OR – O-regularly varying functions, or recently introduced [6] interesting class $\mathscr{M}$. Here we shall particularly use the classes ER and OR. Extensive literature on these functions is available, e.g. [1], [3], [11], [28]. We review here, following [3], their definitions and very basic notions and properties related to these functions. As in the case of regular variation we assume that all mentioned functions are continuous.

The limit in (4) does not exist always for an arbitrary function, but the limit superior and the limit inferior do exist. So let

$$f^*(\lambda) = \limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)}, \quad f_*(\lambda) = \liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \tag{8}$$

Note that the difference $f^*(\lambda) - f_*(\lambda)$ measures the oscillation of $f(\lambda x)/f(x)$ at infinity. It is said that a real positive measurable function f belongs to the ER class if and only if there are constants d and c such that

$$\lambda^d \leq f_*(\lambda) \leq f^*(\lambda) \leq \lambda^c, \quad \lambda \geq 1. \tag{9}$$

The class OR functions is the set of positive measurable f such that

$$0 < f_*(\lambda) \leq f^*(\lambda) < \infty, \quad \lambda \geq 1. \tag{10}$$

We see at once that RV $\subseteq$ ER $\subseteq$ OR. There are examples that show that both extensions are proper. Note that f is RV if and only if $f_*(\lambda) = f^*(\lambda)$, $\lambda \geq 1$. Therefore, RV functions are exactly OR (or ER) functions which do not oscillate at infinity.

Proposition 1. *The following statements are equivalent for a real function f:*

1. *f belongs to the class ER.*
2. *f has the representation: $f(x) = \exp(C + \eta(x) + \int_1^x \xi(t)dt/t), \quad x \geq 1$, with C constant, $\eta(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, ξ bounded and ξ, η both measurable.*

Similar representation theorem holds for OR functions: $f \in$ OR if and only if

$$f(x) = \exp(\eta(x) + \int_1^x \xi(t)dt/t), \quad x \geq 1, \tag{11}$$

where $\eta(x)$ and $\xi(x)$ are measurable and bounded.

1.2 The constant Γ

In our analysis an important role will have a constant Γ which we introduced in [24]. This constant is related to the Friedmann equations, particularly to the acceleration equation which is considered there as a second order linear differential equation

$$\ddot{a} + \frac{\mu(t)}{t^2}a = 0. \tag{12}$$

Here

$$\mu(t) = \frac{4\pi G}{3}t^2\left(\bar{\rho}(t) + \frac{3\bar{p}(t)}{c^2}\right), \tag{13}$$

where $\bar{\rho}(t)$ and $\bar{p}(t)$ are some particular solutions of Friedmann equations. Then Γ is defined as the integral limit

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_\mu = \lim_{x\to\infty} x\int_x^\infty \frac{\mu(t)}{t^2}dt \equiv \mathbf{M}(\mu). \tag{14}$$

assuming the existence of the limit integral on the right-hand side of (14). The function $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$ is a linear functional $\mathbf{M}\colon \mathcal{M} \to R$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the space of all real functions satisfying the above integral condition (14). $\mathcal{M}$ is called a Marić class

of functions (see [24]). If this integral limit does not exist, we say that Γ does not exist. The constant Γ appears in description of cosmological parameters by use of the theory of regularly varying solutions of linear second order differential equations, see [24], [25] and [22]. Determining the values of Γ one can obtain the asymptotical behavior of the solutions $a(t)$, $\rho(t)$ and $p(t)$. The limit integral in (14) in general is not easy to compute. However, as

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mu(t) = \Gamma \tag{15}$$

implies (14), we see that (15) gives a useful sufficient condition for the existence of regular solutions of the equation (12).

The significance of the integral limit (14) is seen in the following facts. We have shown in [24] that for any function $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\Gamma_\mu < 1/4$, the Friedmann equations has a normalized regularly varying solutions and the universe modeled by these solutions must be spatially flat or open. On the other hand, if $\Gamma_\mu > 1/4$ then the universe is oscillatory. Therefore, Γ provides a kind of sharp threshold, or cut-off point, from which the oscillation of $a(t)$ takes place, [14]. It was also proved that $\Gamma < 1/4$ implies a weak form of the equation of state.

The converse also holds. We show that for any normalized regularly varying solution of Friedman equations the integral limit (14) must exist and $\Gamma \leq 1/4$. So suppose $a(t) = t^\alpha L$ is a solution of Friedmann equations where L is a normalized slowly regular and let $\beta = 1 - \alpha$. In accordance with the representation (7), Theorem 1, of slowly varying functions and as L is normalized, we have $\dot{L} = \varepsilon L/t$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{Z}_0$. From there immediately follows the next derivation:

$$\dot{a} = \alpha t^{\alpha-1} L + t^\alpha \dot{L} = (\alpha + \varepsilon) t^{\alpha-1} L,$$
$$\ddot{a} = \dot{\varepsilon} t^{\alpha-1} L - (\alpha + \varepsilon)(\beta - \varepsilon) t^{\alpha-2} L,$$

wherefrom we obtain

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = (\dot{\varepsilon} t - (\alpha + \varepsilon)(\beta - \varepsilon))/t^2.$$

As $a(t)$ is a solution of Friedmann equations, in particular of the equation (12), it must be $\mu = -\dot{\varepsilon} t + (\alpha + \varepsilon)(\beta - \varepsilon)$ and also

$$\mathbf{M}(\mu) = \mathbf{M}(-\dot{\varepsilon} t + (\alpha + \varepsilon)(\beta - \varepsilon)) = \mathbf{M}(-\dot{\varepsilon} t) + \mathbf{M}(\alpha + \varepsilon)(\beta - \varepsilon)).$$

Further, $\mathbf{M}(-\dot{\varepsilon} t) = 0$ (see Theorem 2.2 in [24]). Since $(\alpha + \varepsilon)(\beta - \varepsilon) \to \alpha\beta$ as $t \to \infty$, it follows $\mathbf{M}((\alpha + \varepsilon)(\beta - \varepsilon)) = \alpha\beta$. Hence $\mathbf{M}(\mu) = \alpha\beta$ and so $\Gamma = \alpha\beta$, what proves the existence of Γ. Further, if α, $\beta \geq 0$ then $\sqrt{\alpha\beta} \leq (\alpha+\beta)/2 = 1/2$, hence $\Gamma \leq 1/4$. If $\alpha < 0$ then $\beta > 0$, hence $\Gamma < 0 < 1/4$.

Observe that α and β are roots of the equation $x^2 - x + \Gamma = 0$ which defines the fundamental solutions of the acceleration equation, see [22], [24].

2 Cosmological parameters and cosmological constants

A cosmological parameters basically is a real function $P = P(t)$ in the time variable t and it usually represents an essential physical value related to the

standard cosmological model. In the literature there are variations of their notation and even definitions what may lead to ambiguities. Therefore, we fix here their notation, at least for some of the parameters, but we assume their standard meaning. Also, for the simplicity of the notation from now on for the speed of light we shall take $c = 1$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho_c = \rho_c(t) = \dfrac{3H^2}{8\pi G} & & \text{critical density,} \\ \Omega = \Omega(t) = \rho/\rho_c & & \text{density parameter,} \\ \Omega_\Lambda = \Omega_\Lambda(t) = \dfrac{\Lambda}{3H^2} & & \text{cosmological constant density parameter,} \\ \Omega_k = \Omega_k(t) = -\dfrac{k}{R^2H^2} & & \text{curvature density parameter,} \\ q = q(t) = -\dfrac{\ddot{a}}{aH^2} & & \text{deceleration parameter.} \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Derived constants are obtained from cosmological parameters fixing their values at $t = t_0$. The time value t_0 usually stands for a certain moment in the present epoch. If P is a cosmological parameter then we often write shortly P_0 instead of $P(t_0)$. Derived constants inherit their names from the parameters from which they were obtained, e.g. Hubble constant H_0. Here are some examples of derived constants.

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{8\pi G}{3H_0^2}\rho_0, \quad \Omega_{\Lambda 0} = \frac{\Lambda}{3H_0^2}, \quad \Omega_{k0} = -\frac{k}{R_0^2H_0^2} \tag{17}$$

The next theorem illustrates the use of derived cosmological constants. For the simplicity of the computation we took the speed of light for unit, hence $c = 1$.

Theorem 2. *The first Friedmann equation with nonzero cosmological constant Λ is equivalent to the following equation:*

$$\dot{a}^2 + \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho_0/a^3 - \rho)a^2 = H_0^2(1 + \Omega_0(1/a - 1) + \Omega_{\Lambda 0}(a^2 - 1)). \tag{18}$$

Proof If $L = \dot{a}^2 + \dfrac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho_0/a^3 - \rho)a^2$ then using (12) and definitions (17) of Ω_0 and $\Omega_{\Lambda 0}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= a^2\left(\frac{8\pi G}{3}\cdot\frac{\rho_0}{a^3} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} - \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho\right) = a^2\left(\frac{8\pi G}{3}\cdot\frac{\rho_0}{a^3} - \frac{k}{R_0^2a^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{H_0^2}{a} + \frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0 - H_0^2\right) - \frac{k}{R_0^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 = \frac{H_0^2}{a} + \frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{k}{R_0^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right) - \frac{k}{R_0^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \\ &= H_0^2 + \left(H_0^2 + \frac{k}{R_0^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a} - 1\right) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \\ &= H_0^2 + \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0(1/a - 1) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}(a^2 - 1) \\ &= H_0^2\left(1 + \frac{8\pi G}{3H_0^2}\rho_0(1/a - 1) + \frac{\Lambda}{3H_0^2}(a^2 - 1)\right) \\ &= H_0^2(1 + \Omega_0(1/a - 1) + \Omega_{\Lambda 0}(a^2 - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

□

It is well known that a universe is pressureless (matter dominated) if and only if $\rho = \rho_0/a^3$. Therefore, in this case the second term in L vanishes and we have the following conclusion.

Corollary (see [7]) If matter dominated universe is assumed, then the first Friedmann equation reduces to the following equation with the constant coefficients:

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{H_0^2} = 1 + \Omega_0(1/a - 1) + \Omega_{\Lambda 0}(a^2 - 1) \tag{19}$$

If a flat universe is assumed, i.e. $\Omega_k = 0$, due to the formula $\Omega + \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_k = 1$ the relation (19) obviously obtains more simple form:

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{H_0^2} = \Omega_0 a^{-1} + (1 - \Omega_0)a^2. \tag{20}$$

It is interesting that from (19) a rough estimation of $a(t)$ can be obtained in regards to the various epochs in the development of the universe. If the gravitation domination is assumed, i.e. the rate of the universe expansion is small then the scale factor a is small. Therefore the term $1/a$ dominates on the righthand side of the equation (19) and so $\dot{a}^2 \propto a^{-1}$. From this relation one obtains at once $a(t) \propto t^{\frac{2}{3}}$, a well known asymptotics for a matter dominated universe. On the other side if the dark energy prevails, then the rate of the universe expansion is large, i.e. now the term a^2 dominates the righthand side of the equation (19). Hence $\dot{a}^2/H_0^2 \sim \Omega_{\Lambda 0} a^2$, i.e. $\dot{a}/a \sim \Lambda/3$, wherefrom we obtain $a(t) \sim \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}t\right)$, a well known result for this epoch of the universe evolution.

3 Density parameter $\Omega(t)$ and regular variation

From now on we shall assume a pressureless universe with nonzero cosmological constant Λ. For this type of universe S.M. Carroll, W.H. Press and E.L. Turner developed in [7] formulas of the form

$$H(t)t = F(\Omega), \quad \Omega = \Omega(t) \text{ is a density parameter,} \tag{21}$$

Our aim in this section is to show that under this assumption and from these relation one can infer asymptotics for cosmological parameters regardless of the convergence of the limit integral (14). The functions $F(\Omega)$ are defined as follows.

$F(\Omega)$ for flat Universe ($k = 0$):

$$F(\Omega) = \frac{2}{3}(1 - \Omega)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \Omega}}{\sqrt{\Omega}}\right) \tag{22}$$

$F(\Omega)$ for open Universe ($k = -1$):

$$F(\Omega) = \frac{1}{1 - \Omega} - \frac{\Omega}{2(1 - \Omega)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{2 - \Omega}{\Omega}\right) \tag{23}$$



Fig. 1. Graphs of $F(\Omega)$

We note that the functions $F(\Omega)$ are easily inferred from (19). Acceleration equation with Λ is written as follows:

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\mu}{t^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \tag{24}$$

Taking in (13)

$$\bar{\rho} = \rho^* - \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}, \quad \bar{p} = p^* + \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}. \tag{25}$$

the acceleration equation is reduced to the standard form (1) where μ is replaced by $\mu_\Lambda = (3\mu - \Lambda t^2)/3 = 4\pi G t^2(\rho^* + 3p^*)/3$. In other words

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\mu_\Lambda}{t^2} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{\mu_\Lambda}{F(\Omega)^2}. \tag{26}$$

It is well known [18], [31] that in the matter dominated universe with the cosmological constant the following holds

$$q = \Omega/2 - \Omega_\Lambda. \tag{27}$$

Thus, for such universe, by definition of $q(t)$, (24) and (27) we have

$$\Omega/2 - \Omega_\Lambda = q = \frac{\mu}{(tH)^2} - \frac{\Lambda}{3H^2} = \frac{\mu}{F(\Omega)^2} - \Omega_\Lambda.$$

Hence

$$\mu = \frac{\Omega}{2} F(\Omega)^2. \tag{28}$$

We show how to infer asymptotic formulas for the scale factor $a(t)$ using $F(\Omega)$. For this, we note that the Hubble parameter is the logarithmic derivative of $a(t)$:

$$H(t) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = \frac{d \ln a(t)}{dt}.$$



Fig. 2. Graphs of $\mu(\Omega) = \dfrac{\Omega}{2} F(\Omega)^2$

Hence

$$a(t) = \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t} H(t)dt\right) = \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{H(t)t}{t}dt\right) = \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{F(\Omega)}{t}dt\right). \quad (29)$$

We shall prove the following crucial characteristic of the scale factor $a(t)$:

Proposition 2.

The function $a(t)$ belongs to the class ER. (30)

Proof This property will follow from (29) if we show that $F(\Omega(t))$ is bounded on an interval $[t_0, \infty]$ for some $t_0 > 0$. So first suppose that the universe is open. Then the function $F(\Omega(t))$ is obviously bounded, see Figure 1. If the universe is flat, then $\Omega_\infty = 1$ and so $F(\Omega(t))$ is bounded at the infinity, too. Further, the value $\Omega_0 = 0.3$ is widely taken for the present epoch (see e.g. [18]) and is close to the value preferred by the observation. If we assume that the energy density q becomes lower as the age of the universe becomes older, we may suppose that the possible range for the constant Ω_∞ is the interval $[0.3, 1]$ and so $F(\Omega(t))$ is bounded, too. Therefore in all cases we may take that $F(\Omega(t))$ is bounded on the every interval $[t_0, \infty]$ for every $t_0 \geq 0$. Hence, due to (29), is extended regularly varying function. □

In deriving asymptotic formula for $a(t)$ and other cosmological parameters we shall distinguish the following cases. The first one is when there is $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \Omega(t)$. The second one is when this limit does not exist.

3.1 There is a limit $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \Omega(t)$

Suppose there is a limit $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Omega(t) = \omega$, $0 < \omega \leq 1$. Then by the continuity of $F(\Omega)$, there exists $\lim_{\Omega\to\omega} F(\Omega) = \lim_{t\to\infty} F(\Omega(t)) = \alpha$ and by (21)

$$H(t) = \frac{\alpha}{t} + \frac{\varepsilon}{t}, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathcal{Z}_0. \quad (31)$$

Then, using (29) it follows

$$\frac{a(t)}{a(t_0)} = \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\alpha}{t}dt + \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon}{t}dt\right) = \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon}{t}dt\right). \tag{32}$$

Hence

$$a(t) = a(t_0)\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\alpha} L_0(t), \quad t > t_0 > 0. \tag{33}$$

where $L_0(t) = \exp(\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t}dt)$, $\varepsilon(t) \to 0$ if $t \to \infty$. Therefore $L_0(t)$ is slowly regular, and so $a(t)$ is a regularly varying function.

Note that the functions $F(\Omega)$ are defined for $0 < \Omega < 1$. If $\Omega \sim 1$, then:

$$F(\Omega) = \frac{2}{3}\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{1-\Omega}}{1+\sqrt{\Omega}}\right) + o(1-\Omega), \qquad (k=0).$$

$$F(\Omega) = \frac{2}{\Omega} - \frac{4}{3\Omega^2} + \frac{2\sqrt{1-\Omega}}{\Omega} + o(1-\Omega), \qquad (k=-1).$$

Hence, in both cases $\lim_{\Omega\to 1} F(\Omega) = 2/3$ as $\Omega \to 1$. It is also easy to see that

$$\begin{array}{lll} F(\Omega) \to +\infty & \text{as} & \Omega \to 0^+ \quad (k=0), \\ F(\Omega) \to 1 & \text{as} & \Omega \to 0^+ \quad (k=-1). \end{array}$$

Therefore, if $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Omega(t)$ exists and is equal to $\alpha > 0$ (case $k = 0$), then the scale factor $a(t)$ satisfies generalized power law:

$a(t) = t^{\alpha}L_0(t)$, $L_0(t)$ is slowly varying.

According to [24] then all cosmological parameters are uniquely determined and a form of equation of state holds.

We shall present formulas for these parameters only for the curvature index $k = 0$.

The related constants and parameters are introduced following their definitions in [24]. We remaind that the threshold constant Γ is in relation to acceleration equation and it is defined by (14). In [24] is also shown that α is a solution of $x^2 - x + \Gamma = 0$, hence

$$\Gamma = \alpha(1-\alpha). \tag{34}$$

For the curvature index $k = 0, -1$ it must be $\Gamma < 1/4$. By (34) this condition is fulfilled since $\alpha < 2/3$. Further, the equation of state constant w is defined by

$$w = \frac{2}{3\alpha} - 1. \tag{35}$$

Then the other cosmological parameters are introduced as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha = \dfrac{2}{3(1+w)}, & a(t) = a_0 t^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}} L_0(t) \\ H(t) \sim \dfrac{2}{3(1+w)t}, & \mathbf{M}(q) = \dfrac{1+3w}{2} \end{array} \tag{36}$$

We see that these formulas give the standard solutions of Friedman equations for the case $k = 0$. Also, there are functions $\hat{w}(t)$, $\xi(t)$ and $\zeta(t)$ such that

$$p = \hat{w}\rho c^2, \quad \text{(equation of state)} \tag{37}$$

where $\hat{w}(t) = w - t\dot{\xi} + \zeta, \quad \xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{Z}_0$. Hence the weak form of the equation of state holds. If $t\dot{\xi} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, then $\hat{w}(t) \approx w$, what leads to $p = w\rho c^2$, the standard equation of state and classical asymptotics for cosmological parameters. In [24] is also found

$$\mathbf{M}(\mu) = \Gamma = \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{1 + 3w}{(1 + w)^2}. \tag{38}$$

3.2 There is no limit $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Omega(t)$

In this section we shall suppose that the limit $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Omega(t)$ does not exists. However, by (30) $a(t)$ belongs to the class ER, hence we shall apply theory of these class of function to the study of the asymptotic of $a(t)$.

The following simple statement will be useful in the next consideration.

Proposition 3. *Let $g(t)$, $f(t)$, $h(t)$ be real functions defined on a real interval $[t_0, \infty]$ and suppose they satisfy:*

$$g(t) \leq f(t) \leq h(t), \quad t \in [t_0, \infty].$$

Then there is a real function $u(t)$ such that

$$f(t) = g(t)\cos(u(t))^2 + h(t)\sin(u(t))^2, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty]. \tag{39}$$

If f, g and h are continuously differentiable functions such that $g(t) < h(t)$, $t \in [t_0, \infty]$, then $u(t)$ is also continuously differentiable on $[t_0, \infty]$.

Proof For any triple $a \leq x \leq b$ of real numbers there are $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $x = \alpha a + \beta b$. But then there is u such that $\alpha = \cos(u)^2$ and hence $\beta = \sin(u)^2$. Taking for each t, $a = g(t)$ and $b = h(t)$ we obtain (39). Further, it is easy to see that from (39) follows

$$f = \frac{1}{2}(g + h) + \frac{1}{2}(g - h)\cos(2u) \tag{40}$$

wherefrom

$$u = \frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{h + g - 2f}{h - g}\right), \tag{41}$$

hence $u(t)$ is a continuously differentiable function. □

We have shown that $a(t)$ belongs to the class ER and that $F(\Omega)$ is a bounded function for $t \geq t_0$ for $t_0 > 0$. Hence there are real numbers α and β such that $\alpha < \beta$ and $\alpha \leq \Omega(t) \leq \beta$. By (30) and the representation (29) we immediately infer

$$(t/t_0)^\alpha \leq a(t) \leq (t/t_0)^\beta, \quad t \geq t_0. \tag{42}$$

Then by the previous proposition there is a continually differential function $u(t)$ such that

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\beta}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\alpha} - \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\beta}\right)\cos(2u(t)) \tag{43}$$

or by normalizing, i.e putting $t_0 = 1$, we obtain somewhat simpler form

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{\alpha} + t^{\beta}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{\alpha} - t^{\beta}\right)\cos(2u) \equiv t^{a}\cos(u)^2 + t^{b}\sin(u)^2. \tag{44}$$

Depending on the nature of the function $u(t)$, there are several possible cases in



Fig. 3. Evolution of scale factor I



Fig. 4. Graphs of Evolution of scale factor II

the evolution of the scale factor $a(t)$. These cases are depicted on the diagrams figures 3. and 4.

If $\alpha \leq 0$ or $\beta \leq 0$ then the term in (44) containing the negative negative exponent may be omitted due to the largeness of t. Therefore, in inferring above possibilities we suppose $0 < \alpha < \beta$. Then we have

$$\dot{a} = \alpha t^{\alpha-1}\cos(u)^2 + \beta t^{\beta-1}\sin(u)^2 + (t^\beta - t^\alpha)\dot{u}\sin(2u), \tag{45}$$

$$\ddot{a} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}t^{\alpha-2}\cos(u)^2 + \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2}t^{\beta-2}\sin(u)^2 + 2(\beta t^{\beta-1} - \alpha t^{\alpha-1})\dot{u}\sin(2u) + (t^b - t^a)(2\dot{u}\cos(2u) + \ddot{u}\sin(2u)) \tag{46}$$

Looking at (43) for large t we can conclude:

Proposition 4. *1. The scale factor $a(t)$ bounces between bounding functions t^α and t^β.*
2. If $\sin(u) \approx 0$, i.e. $u \approx k\pi$ for some integer k then $a(t) \approx t^\alpha$.
3. If $\sin(u) \not\approx 0$, then $a(t) \approx t^\alpha \sin(u)^2$.
4. Every jump of $a(t)$ between t^α and t^b contains an inflection point, i.e. $a(t)$ change from concave to convex, or vice versa. Therefore, $\ddot{a}$ changes the sign in the future infinitely many times and so does the deceleration parameter $q(t)$. Hence the universe's expansion alternatively decelerates and accelerates.

Further we discuss the monotonicity of $a(t)$ at infinity (i.e. for large t). If in some point t_1, $\dot{u}(t_1) = 0$, or $\sin(2u(t_1)) = 0$, then by (45) we have $a(t_1) = \alpha t_1^{\alpha-1}\cos(u(t_1))^2 + \beta t_1^{\beta-1}\sin(u(t_1))^2$, so

$$\alpha t_1^{\alpha-1} \leq \dot{a}(t_1) \leq \beta t_1^{\beta-1}.$$

Therefore $\dot{a}(t_1) > 0$ and $a(t)$ is increasing in a neighborhood of t_1.
If $\dot{u}(t)\sin(2u(t)) \not\approx 0$, then

$$\dot{a}(t) \approx t^\beta \dot{u}(t)\sin(2u(t)).$$

Suppose $u(t)$ is a time-like function, i.e. monotonously increasing and unbounded. Then $\dot{u}(t) > 0$ and so the sign of $\dot{a}(t)$ depends solely on the term $\sin(2u(t))$. As $u(t)$ is unbounded, $\sin(2u(t))$ certainly change the sign and so does $\dot{a}(t)$. Hence $a(t)$ oscillates between t^a and t^b and the universe alternatively slows down and increases the expansion. But if $u(t)$ is a periodic function with the period of π, the same one as the function $\sin(2u)$, then due to (3.2)for the sufficiently large t $\dot{a}(t) > 0$, i.e. $a(t)$ is increasing.

According to the previous discussion, all of the following cases are possible for the scale factor $a(t)$:

1. For large t, $a(t)$ is increasing.
2. At some intervals $\dot{a}(t) = 0$, i.e. $a(t)$ is a constant function on these intervals. This case corresponds to the so called Lemaitre hesitating universe.
3. The scale factor $a(t)$ oscillatory varies between t^α and t^b.

All these situations are depicted on the diagrams of figures 3. and 4. We also note that if $2\dot{u}\cos(2u) + \ddot{u}\sin(2u) \not\approx 0$, then for large t

$$\ddot{a} \approx t^b(2\dot{u}\cos(2u) + \ddot{u}\sin(2u)). \tag{47}$$

The equation (21) tell us that $F(\Omega) = H(t)t$. Since $H = \dot{a}/a$, by (44) and (45) taking $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$ we have

$$F(\Omega) = \frac{\alpha t^\gamma \cos(u)^2 + \beta \sin(u)^2 + t(1-t^\gamma)\dot{u}\sin(2u)}{t^\gamma \cos(u)^2 + \sin(u)^2} \tag{48}$$

For large t we have $t^\gamma \approx 0$ as $\gamma < 0$. Therefore, if $u \not\approx k\pi$, k is an integer, then we have

$$F(\Omega) = \beta + 2t\dot{u}\cot(u) \tag{49}$$

On the other hand if $u \approx k\pi$, then we immediately find $F(\Omega) \approx \alpha$. Further, if we integrate the equation (49) we find

$$\ln|\sin(u)| = \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{F(\Omega)}{t}dt - \frac{1}{2}\beta\ln(t), \tag{50}$$

wherefrom and (29) we obtain $a(t) \approx t^\beta \sin(u)^2$ for $u \not\approx k\pi$, an already noted result in Proposition 4.3.

Finally let us mention the following useful result on ER functions. According to the theory of regularly varying functions [3] for an extended regularly varying funcion f, there are numbers $c'(f)$ and $d'(f)$, so called Karamata indices and $\alpha'(f)$ and $\beta'(f)$, so called Matuszewska indices so that (see [3], Theorem 2.1.8)

$$\lambda^{d'(f)} \leq f_*(\lambda) \leq \lambda^{\beta'(f)} \leq \lambda^{\alpha'(f)} \leq f^*(\lambda) \leq \lambda^{c'(f)}, \quad \lambda \geq 1. \tag{51}$$

Using this property and definition (8) of functions f_* and f^* is is easy to show that there are numbers α, β, c and d which naturally define strips A and B in the euclidian plane:

$$A = \{(t,y) \in R^2 : t \geq 0,\, t^b \leq y \leq t^\beta\},\ B = \{(t,y) \in R^2 : t \geq 0,\, t^a \leq y \leq t^\alpha\}.$$

so that

$$f(t) \text{ visits (intersects) strips } A \text{ and } B \text{ infinitely many times.} \tag{52}$$

As the scale factor $a(t)$ belongs to the class ER, we see that $a(t)$ has the property (52). This characteristic of $a(t)$ is depicted for a short time scale and a large time scale on graphs, the Figure 4.

4 Discussion and dual universe

Here we shall discuss certain physical hypotheses for the universe models that might explain variation of cosmological parameters we described in previous

sections. We briefly indicated some of these possibilities in [25]. For example, one interesting possibility for the variations of $a(t)$, $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ could be a kind of reverberation due to the extremely rapid expansion of the Universe which appeared in the inflationary epoch, about 10^{-36} seconds after the Big Bang. One can speculate that these variations are the consequences of an echo effect due to thermalization which appeared when the inflation epoch ended. However, we prefer the idea that this variation is an effect of the existence of the a universe and that it is a resultant of their mutual interference. We shall discuss this possibility in more details.

One of the concepts of modern string theory and hence M-theory is that the big bang was a collision between two membranes. The outcome was the creation of two universes, one in the surface of each membrane. Using the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) located in CERN, some data are collected that might lead to the conclusion that the parallel universe exist. Specifically, if the LHC detects the presence of miniature black holes at certain energy levels, then it is believed [12] that these would be the fingerprints of multiple universes. Collected data are still analyzed.

We will not enter here into the full discussion on the existence of the multiverse. But if it is assumed that the parallel universe exists, we can explicitly find a set of formulas that might represent cosmological parameters of dual universe. We obtain them using the second fundamental solution $L_2(t)$ in Howard - Marić theorem (see [22] and [24] applied to the acceleration equation.

Theorem 3. *(Howard-Marić) Let $-\infty < \Gamma < 1/4$, and let $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ be two roots of the equation*

$$x^2 - x + \Gamma = 0. \tag{53}$$

Further let L_i, i=1,2 denote two normalized slowly varying functions. Then there are two linearly independent regularly varying solutions of $\ddot{y} + f(t)y = 0$ of the form

$$y_i(t) = t^{\alpha_i} L_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{54}$$

if and only if $\lim_{x\to\infty} x \int_x^\infty f(t)dt = \Gamma$. Moreover, $L_2(t) \sim \dfrac{1}{(1-2\alpha_1)L_1(t)}$. □

The second fundamental solution and so the dual set of these formulas is determined by the second root $\beta = 1-\alpha$ of the quadratic equation $x^2-x+\Gamma = 0$ appearing in this theorem. To avoid singularities, we assume $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. Now we use β instead of α for the index of RV solution $a(t)$ - deceleration parameter and for determination of other constants and cosmological parameters. As in (35) we introduce $w_\beta = \frac{2}{3\beta} - 1$. Then we have the following symmetric identity for equation of state parameters:

$$w_\alpha + w_\beta + 3w_\alpha w_\beta = 1 \tag{55}$$

For our universe we have $w = w_\alpha$, while for the dual universe the corresponding equation of state parameter is w_β. Then the dual formulas are obtained by

replacing α with β andw_α with w_β in (31), (37) and (36). If one wants to give any physical meaning to the so obtained dual set of functions, it is rather natural to interpret them as the cosmological parameters of the dual universe.

As we shall see these two universes are isomorphic in the sense that there is an isomorphism which maps cosmological parameters into their dual forms. In this derivation we shall use some elements of the Galois theory. For the basics of this theory the reader may consult for example [17].

Our assumption $\Gamma < \frac{1}{4}$ and that the solutions α and β of the equation (53) differ, say $\alpha < \beta$, introduces the following kind of symmetry. Let $F = \mathbf{R}(t, \Gamma)$ be the extension algebraic field where $\mathbf{R}$ is the field of real numbers and t and Γ are letters (variables). It is easy to see that for such Γ the polynomial $x^2 - x + \Gamma$ is irreducible over the field F. Hence, the Galois group $\mathbf{G}$ of the equation (53) is of the order 2 and has a nontrivial automorphism σ. Let α and β be the roots of the polynomial $x^2 - x + \Gamma$. Then $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$ and $\sigma(\beta) = \alpha$. Further, let $\Gamma = \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{1+3w}{(1+w)^2}$ where w is a parameter. Then we can take $\alpha = \frac{2}{3(1+w)}$ and $\beta = \frac{1+3w}{3(1+w)}$. Let $w_\alpha \equiv w$ and $w_\beta \equiv \frac{1-w}{1+3w}$. Then $\sigma(w_\alpha) = w_\beta$ since w_α and w_β are rational expressions respectively in α and β. Further, the time t and the constant Γ are invariant under σ i.e. $\sigma(t) = t$ and $\sigma(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ since t and Γ are the elements of the ground field F. The cosmological parameters (31) and (36) are rational expressions of w so if P_α is the corresponding parameter to the solution α, then $\sigma(P_\alpha) = P_\beta$. For example, for the Hubble parameters we have $\sigma(H_\alpha) = H_\beta$. Hence, not only solutions (isomorphic via σ) come into the pairs but the sets of all cosmological parameters come as well. At this point one may speculate about two dual mutually interacting universes having the same time t and the constant Γ and the conjugated parameters w_α and w_β connected by the relation (55).

Of course, there is a question what are the values of the constants appearing in cosmological parameters, for example of $w = w_\alpha$. Most results in the literature see e.g. [32], are consistent with the w = -1 cosmological constant case. Results from experimental cosmology, such as the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) of Luminous Red Galaxies (LRGs) in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) are consistent with w = -1, the dark energy equation of state, [2]. However, the value $w = -1$ yields singularity in (36). For such w there is no corresponding α neither Γ. Equation of state is $p = -\rho c^2$ and then by fluid equation we have $\dot{\rho} = 0$, i.e ρ is constant. This case corresponds to the cosmological constant, so $\rho = \rho_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}$. In the absences of α and β for dual w_β of $w = w_\alpha$ we may take (55) for defining relation . Putting $w_\alpha = -1$ in this identity we obtain $w_\beta = -1$. Hence, dual universe is also equipped wit cosmological constant and its expansion is also governed by dark energy.

The other values of w are also considered. For example if $w = 1/3$ then $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$, $\Gamma = 1/4$ and in this case Howard-Marić theorem cannot be applied since functions $L_1(t)$ and $L_2(t)$ from this theorem are not fundamental solutions. But there is a variant of this theorem appropriate for this case [22], and applying it one can show that $a(t)$ is regularly varying of index $\frac{1}{2}$ if and

only if $w \sim \frac{1}{3}$ as $t \to \infty$, i.e. $p \sim \frac{1}{3}c^2\rho$ holds asymptotically. This is the second classic cosmological solution. For more details one can consult [24].

5 Conclusion

We analyzed Friedmann equations and cosmological parameters from the point of view of regular variation. The central role in this analysis had the acceleration equation since it can be considered as a linear second order differential equation and that the theory of regularly varying solutions of such equations is well developed [22]. Particular attention in our discussion was given to a pressureless universe with nonzero cosmological constant Λ. For this type of universe S.M. Carroll, W.H. Press and E.L. Turner introduced in [7] a function $F(\Omega)$ by which the other cosmological parameters can be expressed. This allowed us, using the theory of regular variation, to describe asymptotics for cosmological parameters, even in the case when the limit $\lim_{t\to\infty} F(\Omega)$ does not exist. The theory of regular variation was developed for study asymptotics at infinity in general and we hope that this analysis shows a fruitful application of this theory in Cosmology. We also discussed the threshold constant Γ and the formally introduced equation of state parameter w. Both constants have the fundamental role in describing asymptotics of cosmological parameters and evolution of the Universe. We also inferred formulas that might represent the cosmological parameters of a dual universe.

References

1. Aljančić, S. and Arandjelović, D.: O-regularly varying functions, Pub. Inst. Math., 22(36), 5-22, (1977).
2. L. Anderson et al.: The clustering of galaxies in the SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: baryon acoustic oscillations in the Data Releases 10 and 11 Galaxy samples. MNRAS 441, 24-62, (2014).
3. Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M., Teugels, J.L.: Regular variation. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1987).
4. Barrow, J. D.: Varieties of expanding universe. Class. Quantum Grav., vol. 13, no. 11, (1996).
5. Barrow, J. D. and Shaw, D. J.: Some late-time asymptotics of general scalarŰtensor cosmologies. Class. Quantum Grav., vol. 25, no. 08 (2008)
6. Cadena, M., Kratz, M.: An extension of the class of regularly varying functions, https://hal-essec.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01097780, 35p, (2014).
7. Carroll, S.M., Press, W.H., Turner, E.L., Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophis. J. 30, 499 (1992).
8. Carroll, S.M.: The Cosmological constant, arXiv:astro-ph/0004075v2, (2000).
9. Farag A. A., Faizal, M., Khalil, M. M.: Absence of black holes at LHC due to gravity's rainbow. Physics Letters B, 295-300, (2015)
10. Coles, P. & Lucchin, F., Cosmology: the Origin and Evolution of Cosmic Structure (2nd ed.). Wiley, Chichester(2002)
11. Djurčić, D., O-regularly varying functions and some asymptotic relations, Pub. Inst. Math. 61(75), 44-52, (1997).

12. Farag A. A., Faizal, M., Khalil, M. M.: Absence of black holes at LHC due to gravity's rainbow. Physics Letters B, 295-300, (2015).
13. Friedmann, A.: Über die Möglichkeit einer Welt mit konstanter negativer Krümmung des Raumes. Z. Phys., 21 (1): 326 (1924).
14. Hille, E.: Non-oscillation theorems. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64, 234 (1948).
15. Howard, H.C., Maric, V.: Regularity and nonoscillation of solutions of second order linear differential equations. Bull. T. CXIV de Acad. Serbe Sci et Arts, Classe Sci. mat. nat, 22. 85-98, (1997).
16. Islam, J.N.: An introduction to mathematical cosmology. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2004).
17. Lang, S.: Algebra. Springer, (2002).
18. Liddle, A.R., Lyth, L.H.: Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure. Cambridge Univ. Press (2000).
19. Karamata, J., Sur une mode de croissance réguliere fonctions. Math. (Cluj) (1930).
20. Kusano, T., Marić, V.: Regularly varying solutions of perturbed Euler differential equations and related functional differential equation. Publ. Inst. Math., 1, 88(102), (2010).
21. Marić, V., Tomić, M.: A classification of solutions of second order linear differential equations by means of regularly varying functions. Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgrade), 58(72), 199 (1990)
22. Marić, V., Regular Variation and Differential Equations. Springer, Berlin (2000).
23. Mijajlović, Ž., Pejović, N. & Ninković, S.: Nonstandard Representations of Processes in Dynamical Systems. AIP Conf. Proc. 934, 151 (2007)
24. Mijajlović, Ž., Pejović, N., Šegan, S., Damljanović, G., On asymptotic solutions of Friedmann equations. Appl. Math and Computation, 219, 1273–1286, (2012).
25. Mijajlović, Ž., Pejović, N., Marić, V.: On the ε cosmological parameter. Serb. Astron. J. 190, 25 - 31, (2015).
26. Molchanov S.A., Surgailis D., Woyczynski, W.A.: The large-scale structure of the universe and quasi-Voronoi tessalation of schock fronts in forced Burgers turbulence in R^d. Ann. Appl. Probability, vol. 7, No. 1., 200 (1997)
27. Narlikar, J.V., An Introduction to Cosmology. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2002).
28. Seneta, E.: Regularly varying functions. Springer, Berlin (1976)
29. Stern, I.: On Fractal Modeling in Astrophysics: The Effect of Lacunarity on the Convergence of Algorithms for Scaling Exponents. A.S.P. Conf. Ser., vol. 125, 222 (1997).
30. Stroyan, K.D., Luxemburg, W.A.J.: Introduction to the theory of infinitesimals, Academic Press, NY (1976).
31. Sean M. Carroll Internet page: https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/Carroll2.
32. Particle Data Group: http://pdg.lbl.gov